UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,340	09/27/2005	Jeffrey R Fergusson	22216-00006-US1	5212
	7590 04/26/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2207			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS :	04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,340	FERGUSSON, JEFFREY R				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowants.	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-18 and 25-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 19-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Ada ab in 1946		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,340

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11 and 19-24, drawn to a tube for rock bolts comprising a tube extruded from plastics material deformed beyond its yield point at each of a plurality of longitudinally spaced apart locations extending along the length of the tube, said deformation at each location occurring in at least two different directions. It is considered that this arrangement comprises a first "special technical feature."

Group II, claim(s) 12-18, drawn to an end fitting for a rock bolt comprising a generally barrel-like shape having a front end, a rear wall, a curved side wall, a longitudinal axis, a (cable) tendon passageway extending between the front and rear ends, and a grouting orifice in said front end leading into said passageway. It is considered that this arrangement comprises a second "special technical feature."

Group III, claim(s) 25-28, drawn to a rock bolt for use in poor ground conditions, including anchor means comprising at least two anchor devices connected in series on said bolt adjacent to its far end to anchor the far end adjacent the base of a blind hole formed in the ground, and tension means at the near end of the bolt to tension it after anchoring. It is considered that this arrangement comprises a third "special technical feature."

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the groups of claims do not share any of the identified "special technical features."
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Burton Amernick on 4/19/07 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11, and 19-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Art Unit: 3673

Office action. Claims 12-18 and 25-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "iS" should be --is--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 11 recites the limitation "The cable bolt" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SU reference #1460313. As per the International Search Report for PCT/AU03/00760, it appears that claims 1 and 19 as broadly recited are met by SU '313.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,340 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

10. Claims 1-10 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mraz #5,882,148. Mraz discloses a tube 11 for rock bolts. The tube may be made from plastics material such as HDPE, furthermore, is capable of being made from irrigation water supply tube. Irrigation water supply tube is known to be made from plastics material such as HDPE. The corrugations would read on the deformations occurring in at least two different directions, since pressure would be placed "circumferentially" around the tube i.e. a plurality of different locations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C..103(a) as being unpatentable over Mraz '148 in view of Stankus et al #6,074,134. Mraz discloses the claimed invention except for the tendon being formed from multi-strand cable and the bolt being looped into a coil. Stankus et al teaches that it is known to provide a tendon made of multi-strand steel cable 14 as set forth at columns 3-4, lines 60-04. Furthermore, such multi-strand cable would be capable of being looped into a coil. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a tendon being made from multi-strand steel cable, as taught by Stankus et al in order to facilitate handling and installation of anchor type bolts.

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 571-272-7043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frederick L. Lagman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673 Application/Control Number: 10/518,340

Art Unit: 3673

Page 6